REMARKS

This is in response to the non-final Office Action dated June 18, 2007.

Applicants submit that pending claim 2 is patentable in view of the prior art of record.

Applicants submit herewith an amendment to the title of the present application. Applicants previously amended the title, to which the Examiner maintained the objection. Accordingly, Applicants submit a newly proposed further descriptive title. Claims 3 and 4 have been cancelled by way of the present amendments. Claim 2 has been amended to indicate that the first instructions and the second instructions are resident on a second server and that the first indication and second indication are transmitted to a third server, which does not add any new matter beyond the specification as originally filed, see for example Figs. 8 through 11 illustrating three different servers. Claim 2 has also been amended to clarify that the client receives a first HTML document, identified by a first URL, from a first web server, thereby addressing the Examiner's rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶2. As such, Applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection.

Claim 2 stands rejected under both 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 103(a) in view of the MacWEEK article of March 18, 1996, entitled "Navigator Tricks Raise Concerns" (hereinafter referred to "MacWEEK"). Applicants respectfully traverse the present rejection and disagree with the Examiner's assertions regarding the teaching and suggestion of MacWEEK in view of the amended claims. As noted in previously filed Responses, MacWEEK discusses the implementation of HTTP cookies that can be used for malicious purposes, such as tracking user online activities. MacWEEK, however, does not teach or suggest the utilization of a second server on which one or more first and

second instructions are resident and a third server to which the client transmits the claimed first indication and second indication. Rather, MacWEEK merely discusses the utilization of a single server, which is consistent with positions that the Examiner has previously taken (Office Action, page 3, ¶7, §e). For example, MacWEEK discusses that the cookie stays on a local computer to retain information and is therefore re-usable when accessing the server. Indeed, the possible security concern includes the transmission of this information to a second server. As such, claim 2 is patentable in view of MacWEEK because, *inter alia*, MacWEEK is silent with regard to a second server and a third server as claimed and, in fact, teaches away from the claimed method on the basis of the potential harmful distribution of collected information to the second server.

Claim 2 also stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,108,637 to Blumenau ("Blumenau"). The disclosure of Blumenau is distinguishable from pending independent claim 2 as presently pending independent claim 2 is directed towards receiving a first HTML document identified by a first URL from a first web server (the first HTML document comprising a link to one or more first instructions resident on a second server), receiving a second HTML document identified by a second URL from a second web server (the second HTML document comprising a link to one or more second instructions resident on the second server) and transmitting a first indication and a second indication to a third server. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit the rejection is improper as Blumenau fails to identically disclose, teach or suggest at least these claimed elements.

For these reasons, the applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejections as they might be applied to the claim as pending following entry of this amendment and allow the claims. To expedite prosecution of this application to allowance, the Examiner is invited to call the applicants' undersigned representative to discuss any issues relating to this application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 50-4026 if required in the submission of this Amendment.

Dated: December 18, 2007

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE EFS FILING SYSTEM ON December 18, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

Timothy J. Bechen Reg. No. 48,126

DREIER LLP

499 Park Ave.

New York, New York 10022

Tel: (212) 328-6100 Fax: (212) 328-6101

Customer No. 61834